	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/659,537	EVRARD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	EBENEZER SACKEY	1626
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) EBENEZER SACKEY.	(3)	
(2) <u>WENDY CHOI</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 08 May 2006.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) <mark> applicant's repres</mark>	entative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>claim 1</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>the Examiner requested to period</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendment	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE INTERVIEW ON REVERSE SIDE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	last Office action has a OF ONE MONTH OR ERVIEW SUMMARY F	already been filed, APPLICANT IS THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
	٠,	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examine	er's signature, if required